Discharge of water and suspended sediments to the South Bay from Coyote Creek and Guadalupe River watersheds:

Water Years 2003 – 2005

Lester McKee (PhD) Watershed Program Manager San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI)

### Outline

- Geography
- Water discharge variability
- Sediment loads
- Grainsize
- Maintenance sediment removal
- Sediment quality
- Summary and conclusions









### Intra-annual Runoff - Guadalupe



![](_page_4_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Suspended Load

### **Guadalupe Sediment Data Collection**

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Turbidity v SSC Regression

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Suspended Sediment Concentration

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Monthly Suspended Sediment Load (e.g. Guadalupe)

October-April = 96%

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Wet Season Sediment Loads

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Sediment Grainsize

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Guadalupe Sediment Grainsize (Detail)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

Grainsize (micron = 1/1000 mm)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Settling Velocities**

(USEPA design settling velocities assuming Stokes Law settling (Driscoll 1986))

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Grainsize (micron)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Thought Experiment

#### Assume:

- 10 km from Hwy 101 to a Salt Pond
- Velocities are 3 m/s at peak flow for a flood 3 m depth

#### Then:

It will take 1 hour for water to travel

Assume:

- All sediment remains in suspension on the rising stage and 80% of sediment is transported on the rising stage
- Water column is only 1 m depth in Alviso Slough
- Particle density of 2 g/cm<sup>3</sup>

#### **Then:**

- Particles < 20 micron will never settle in the channel during a flood
- 80% of the particles are less than 20 micron
- >90% of all suspended sediment is flushed through the system

![](_page_14_Picture_15.jpeg)

### **Bed Load**

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Bed Load Sediment Grainsize**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Fate of Bed Sediment

- WY 2005 transported 1,500 t
- Assume  $1.5 \text{ g/cm}^3$  then  $1,000 \text{ m}^3$
- SCVWD removed 56,000 yd<sup>3</sup> (43,000 m<sup>3</sup>) downstream from Hwy 101 over 27 years = 1,600 m<sup>3</sup>/y
- Maintenance sediment removal easily accounts for all bed load transport if we assume WY 2005 represents a little less than the long term average

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Suspended Sediment Quality

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Bed Sediment Quality**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Grain Size (mm)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Comparisons to Zone 6 Line B

Water Year	Guadalupe R. (414 km²)	Coyote Ck. (830 km²)	Zone 6 Line B (2.2 km²)
2000			19,700
2001			8,404
2002			906
2003	10,787		
2004	8,219	6,571	
2005	4,918	10,162	
<u>Total</u>	<u>23,924</u>	<u>16,733</u>	<u>29,010</u>

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Conclusions

- Sediment loads have been measured for only a small window of climatic variability
- Suspended sediment is very fine and is unlikely to be trapped in creeks during floods
- Maintenance sediment removal is about the same magnitude as bed load sediment
- Suspended sediments in Guadalupe R. are contaminated with Hg however even in Guadalupe River, the bed sediment is relatively clean
- Suspended sediments in other South Bay watersheds with upland supply are also likely to be clean
- Small watersheds supply a disproportionately large amount of sediment presently we are underestimating total sediment supply for restoration because we have virtually no information on these small watersheds

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Acknowledgements

### People and organizations

- SFEI field scientists
- SPLWG
- MLML
- USGS field staff

### <u>Funding</u>

### CEP

- **RMP**
- USACE / SCVWD
- SCVURPPP

![](_page_22_Picture_12.jpeg)